

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,479	VANE ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Ana M. Fortuna	1723	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ana M. Fortuna.

(3) _____.

(2) Glenna Hendricks.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

none.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Glena Hendricks was questioned about the statement in the request for extension of time of 2/22/06, the extension was for the purpose of filing a continuation application. Mrs. Hendricks confirmed that the application has been refiled, and the rejection will not be responded..